

On a conjecture of Darmon–Rotger in the adjoint CM case

Seminario Latinoamericano de Teoría de Números

Francesc Castella

University of California Santa Barbara

April 22, 2021

Outline

Kato classes

Generalised Kato classes

Main result

Ideas from the proof

Kato classes

- E/\mathbb{Q} elliptic curve of conductor N .
- $p \nmid 2N$ good ordinary prime for E .
- $V_p E := (\varprojlim_n E[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem (Kato's ERL)

There is a class $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}})) \doteq \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}$$

*where $\text{Loc}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction maps at p ,
and*

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^* : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is Bloch–Kato's dual exponential map.

Kato classes

- E/\mathbb{Q} elliptic curve of conductor N .
- $p \nmid 2N$ good ordinary prime for E .
- $V_p E := (\varprojlim_n E[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem (Kato's ERL)

There is a class $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}})) \doteq \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction maps at p ,
and

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^* : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is Bloch–Kato's dual exponential map.

Kato classes

- E/\mathbb{Q} elliptic curve of conductor N .
- $p \nmid 2N$ good ordinary prime for E .
- $V_p E := (\varprojlim_n E[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem (Kato's ERL)

There is a class $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}})) \doteq \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction maps at p ,
and

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^* : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is Bloch–Kato's dual exponential map.

Kato classes

- E/\mathbb{Q} elliptic curve of conductor N .
- $p \nmid 2N$ good ordinary prime for E .
- $V_p E := (\varprojlim_n E[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem (Kato's ERL)

There is a class $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}})) \doteq \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction maps at p , and

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^* : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is Bloch–Kato's dual exponential map.

Kato classes

- E/\mathbb{Q} elliptic curve of conductor N .
- $p \nmid 2N$ good ordinary prime for E .
- $V_p E := (\varprojlim_n E[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem (Kato's ERL)

There is a class $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}})) \doteq \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction maps at p , and

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^* : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is Bloch–Kato's dual exponential map.

Kato classes

- E/\mathbb{Q} elliptic curve of conductor N .
- $p \nmid 2N$ good ordinary prime for E .
- $V_p E := (\varprojlim_n E[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem (Kato's ERL)

There is a class $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}})) \doteq \frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega_E}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction maps at p , and

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^* : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is Bloch–Kato's dual exponential map.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Let $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the p -adic Selmer group of E :

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kato's ERL, $L(E, 1) = 0 \iff \kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \in \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is any generator.

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Assume $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then TFAE:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a generator.

Remarks

- (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : proved by Gross–Zagier, Kolyvagin; Skinner, W. Zhang, etc..
- (3) \Leftrightarrow (1) : proved by Bertolini–Darmon–Venerucci, etc..
- As a consequence, if $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \geq 2$ then $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} = 0$!

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Assume $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then TFAE:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a generator.

Remarks

- (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : proved by Gross–Zagier, Kolyvagin; Skinner, W. Zhang, etc..
- (3) \Leftrightarrow (1) : proved by Bertolini–Darmon–Venerucci, etc..
- As a consequence, if $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \geq 2$ then $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} = 0$!

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Assume $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then TFAE:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a generator.

Remarks

- (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : proved by Gross–Zagier, Kolyvagin; Skinner, W. Zhang, etc..
- (3) \Leftrightarrow (1) : proved by Bertolini–Darmon–Venerucci, etc..
- As a consequence, if $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \geq 2$ then $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} = 0$!

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Assume $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then TFAE:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a generator.

Remarks

- (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : proved by Gross–Zagier, Kolyvagin; Skinner, W. Zhang, etc..
- (3) \Leftrightarrow (1) : proved by Bertolini–Darmon–Venerucci, etc..
- As a consequence, if $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \geq 2$ then $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} = 0$!

Perrin-Riou's conjecture

Conjecture (Perrin-Riou, 1993)

Assume $L(E, 1) = 0$. Then TFAE:

- (1) $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} \neq 0$.
- (2) $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.

Moreover, in that case $\log_E(\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}}) = \log_E(P)^2 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}^\times}$, where $P \in E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a generator.

Remarks

- (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : proved by Gross–Zagier, Kolyvagin; Skinner, W. Zhang, etc..
- (3) \Leftrightarrow (1) : proved by Bertolini–Darmon–Venerucci, etc..
- As a consequence, if $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \geq 2$ then $\kappa_p^{\text{Kato}} = 0$!

Outline

Kato classes

Generalised Kato classes

Main result

Ideas from the proof

Darmon–Rotger's explicit reciprocity law

Generalised Kato classes are attached to

- a triple of eigenforms $(f, g, h) \in S_2(N_f) \times S_1(N_g, \epsilon) \times S_1(N_h, \bar{\epsilon})$,
- a choice of roots $(\gamma, \delta) \in \{\alpha_g, \beta_g\} \times \{\alpha_h, \beta_h\}$.

Theorem (Darmon–Rotger's ERL)

There is a generalised Kato class

$$\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}),$$

where $V_{fgh} = V_f \otimes V_g \otimes V_h$, such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h))) \doteq L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h).$$

Note: Roughly speaking, $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k h_k})$.

Darmon–Rotger's explicit reciprocity law

Generalised Kato classes are attached to

- a triple of eigenforms $(f, g, h) \in S_2(N_f) \times S_1(N_g, \epsilon) \times S_1(N_h, \bar{\epsilon})$,
- a choice of roots $(\gamma, \delta) \in \{\alpha_g, \beta_g\} \times \{\alpha_h, \beta_h\}$.

Theorem (Darmon–Rotger's ERL)

There is a generalised Kato class

$$\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}),$$

where $V_{fgh} = V_f \otimes V_g \otimes V_h$, such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h))) \doteq L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h).$$

Note: Roughly speaking, $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k h_k})$.

Darmon–Rotger's explicit reciprocity law

Generalised Kato classes are attached to

- a triple of eigenforms $(f, g, h) \in S_2(N_f) \times S_1(N_g, \epsilon) \times S_1(N_h, \bar{\epsilon})$,
- a choice of roots $(\gamma, \delta) \in \{\alpha_g, \beta_g\} \times \{\alpha_h, \beta_h\}$.

Theorem (Darmon–Rotger's ERL)

There is a generalised Kato class

$$\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}),$$

where $V_{fgh} = V_f \otimes V_g \otimes V_h$, such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h))) \doteq L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h).$$

Note: Roughly speaking, $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k h_k})$.

Darmon–Rotger's explicit reciprocity law

Generalised Kato classes are attached to

- a triple of eigenforms $(f, g, h) \in S_2(N_f) \times S_1(N_g, \epsilon) \times S_1(N_h, \bar{\epsilon})$,
- a choice of roots $(\gamma, \delta) \in \{\alpha_g, \beta_g\} \times \{\alpha_h, \beta_h\}$.

Theorem (Darmon–Rotger's ERL)

There is a generalised Kato class

$$\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}),$$

where $V_{fgh} = V_f \otimes V_g \otimes V_h$, such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h))) \doteq L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h).$$

Note: Roughly speaking, $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k h_k})$.

Darmon–Rotger's explicit reciprocity law

Generalised Kato classes are attached to

- a triple of eigenforms $(f, g, h) \in S_2(N_f) \times S_1(N_g, \epsilon) \times S_1(N_h, \bar{\epsilon})$,
- a choice of roots $(\gamma, \delta) \in \{\alpha_g, \beta_g\} \times \{\alpha_h, \beta_h\}$.

Theorem (Darmon–Rotger's ERL)

There is a generalised Kato class

$$\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}),$$

where $V_{fgh} = V_f \otimes V_g \otimes V_h$, such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h))) \doteq L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h).$$

Note: Roughly speaking, $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k h_k})$.

Darmon–Rotger's explicit reciprocity law

Generalised Kato classes are attached to

- a triple of eigenforms $(f, g, h) \in S_2(N_f) \times S_1(N_g, \epsilon) \times S_1(N_h, \bar{\epsilon})$,
- a choice of roots $(\gamma, \delta) \in \{\alpha_g, \beta_g\} \times \{\alpha_h, \beta_h\}$.

Theorem (Darmon–Rotger's ERL)

There is a generalised Kato class

$$\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}),$$

where $V_{fgh} = V_f \otimes V_g \otimes V_h$, such that

$$\exp_{\text{BK}}^*(\text{Loc}_p(\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h))) \doteq L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h).$$

Note: Roughly speaking, $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, h) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k h_k})$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four a priori distinct**

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does not predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger's conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four a priori distinct**

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does not predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four a priori distinct**

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does not predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger's conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four a priori distinct**

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does **not** predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger's conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four** *a priori* distinct

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does **not** predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger's conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four** *a priori* distinct

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does **not** predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger's conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four** *a priori* distinct

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does **not** predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger's conjecture

Running hypotheses:

- $\alpha_g \neq \beta_g$ and $\alpha_h \neq \beta_h$, so we have **four** *a priori* distinct

$$\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}).$$

- $\gcd(N_f, N_g N_h) = 1$, so $\epsilon(f \otimes g \otimes h) = +1$.

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger)

Suppose that $L(1, f \otimes g \otimes h) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh}) = 2$.

Note:

- The conjecture does **not** predict that the classes $\kappa_{\gamma,\delta}(f, g, h)$ span the entire $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgh})$.
- The assumptions imply that $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(s, f \otimes g \otimes h) \geq 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture: rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case

- Suppose now that f corresponds to an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , and $h = g^*$. Then

$$V_{f g g^*} \cong V_p E \oplus (V_p E \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g)$$

and $L(s, f \otimes g \otimes g^*) = L(E, s) \cdot L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, s)$.

- Let $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the image of $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, g^*)$ under the projection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{f g g^*}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger, rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, and that $L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, 1) \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture: rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case

- Suppose now that f corresponds to an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , and $h = g^*$. Then

$$V_{f g g^*} \cong V_p E \oplus (V_p E \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g)$$

and $L(s, f \otimes g \otimes g^*) = L(E, s) \cdot L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, s)$.

- Let $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the image of $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, g^*)$ under the projection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{f g g^*}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger, rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, and that $L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, 1) \neq 0$.

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture: rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case

- Suppose now that f corresponds to an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , and $h = g^*$. Then

$$V_{fgg^*} \cong V_p E \oplus (V_p E \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g)$$

and $L(s, f \otimes g \otimes g^*) = L(E, s) \cdot L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, s)$.

- Let $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the image of $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, g^*)$ under the projection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgg^*}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger, rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, and that $L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, 1) \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture: rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case

- Suppose now that f corresponds to an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , and $h = g^*$. Then

$$V_{fgg^*} \cong V_p E \oplus (V_p E \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g)$$

and $L(s, f \otimes g \otimes g^*) = L(E, s) \cdot L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, s)$.

- Let $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the image of $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, g^*)$ under the projection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgg^*}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger, rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, and that $L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, 1) \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture: rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case

- Suppose now that f corresponds to an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , and $h = g^*$. Then

$$V_{fgg^*} \cong V_p E \oplus (V_p E \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g)$$

and $L(s, f \otimes g \otimes g^*) = L(E, s) \cdot L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, s)$.

- Let $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the image of $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, g^*)$ under the projection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgg^*}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger, rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, and that $L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, 1) \neq 0$.

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture: rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case

- Suppose now that f corresponds to an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , and $h = g^*$. Then

$$V_{fgg^*} \cong V_p E \oplus (V_p E \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g)$$

and $L(s, f \otimes g \otimes g^*) = L(E, s) \cdot L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, s)$.

- Let $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the image of $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, g^*)$ under the projection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgg^*}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger, rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, and that $L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, 1) \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$.

Darmon–Rotger’s conjecture: rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case

- Suppose now that f corresponds to an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , and $h = g^*$. Then

$$V_{fgg^*} \cong V_p E \oplus (V_p E \otimes \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g)$$

and $L(s, f \otimes g \otimes g^*) = L(E, s) \cdot L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, s)$.

- Let $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ be the image of $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}(f, g, g^*)$ under the projection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{fgg^*}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

Conjecture (Darmon–Rotger, rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint case)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, and that $L(E, \mathrm{ad}^0 V_g, 1) \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The classes $\kappa_{\gamma, \delta}$ span a non-trivial subspace of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$.

Outline

Kato classes

Generalised Kato classes

Main result

Ideas from the proof

The rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint CM case

We consider the adjoint CM case:

- K imaginary quadratic field of discriminant prime to N in which

$$(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits.}$$

- $g = \theta_\psi = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \psi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N\mathfrak{a}}$, with ψ a ray class character of K of conductor prime to Np .

Then we have the four generalised Kato classes

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, \kappa_{\alpha, \beta^{-1}}, \kappa_{\beta, \alpha^{-1}}, \kappa_{\beta, \beta^{-1}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E),$$

where $\alpha = \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\beta = \psi(\mathfrak{p})$.

Note: In this case,

$$L(E, \text{ad}^0 V_p(g), s) = L(E^K, s) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, s),$$

where χ is the ring class character ψ/ψ^T .

The rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint CM case

We consider the adjoint CM case:

- K imaginary quadratic field of discriminant prime to N in which

$$(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits.}$$

- $g = \theta_\psi = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \psi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N\mathfrak{a}}$, with ψ a ray class character of K of conductor prime to Np .

Then we have the four generalised Kato classes

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, \kappa_{\alpha, \beta^{-1}}, \kappa_{\beta, \alpha^{-1}}, \kappa_{\beta, \beta^{-1}} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E),$$

where $\alpha = \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\beta = \psi(\mathfrak{p})$.

Note: In this case,

$$L(E, \text{ad}^0 V_p(g), s) = L(E^K, s) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, s),$$

where χ is the ring class character ψ/ψ^τ .

The rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint CM case

We consider the adjoint CM case:

- K imaginary quadratic field of discriminant prime to N in which

$$(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits.}$$

- $g = \theta_\psi = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \psi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N\mathfrak{a}}$, with ψ a ray class character of K of conductor prime of Np .

Then we have the four generalised Kato classes

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}, \kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1}, \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1}, \kappa_{\beta, \beta-1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E),$$

where $\alpha = \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\beta = \psi(\mathfrak{p})$.

Note: In this case,

$$L(E, \text{ad}^0 V_p(g), s) = L(E^K, s) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, s),$$

where χ is the ring class character ψ/ψ^τ .

The rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint CM case

We consider the adjoint CM case:

- K imaginary quadratic field of discriminant prime to N in which

$$(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits.}$$

- $g = \theta_\psi = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \psi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N\mathfrak{a}}$, with ψ a ray class character of K of conductor prime of Np .

Then we have the four generalised Kato classes

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}, \kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1}, \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1}, \kappa_{\beta, \beta-1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E),$$

where $\alpha = \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\beta = \psi(\mathfrak{p})$.

Note: In this case,

$$L(E, \text{ad}^0 V_p(g), s) = L(E^K, s) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, s),$$

where χ is the ring class character ψ/ψ^τ .

The rank $(2, 0)$ adjoint CM case

We consider the adjoint CM case:

- K imaginary quadratic field of discriminant prime to N in which

$$(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ splits.}$$

- $g = \theta_\psi = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \psi(\mathfrak{a}) q^{N\mathfrak{a}}$, with ψ a ray class character of K of conductor prime to Np .

Then we have the four generalised Kato classes

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}, \kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1}, \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1}, \kappa_{\beta, \beta-1} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E),$$

where $\alpha = \psi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\beta = \psi(\mathfrak{p})$.

Note: In this case,

$$L(E, \text{ad}^0 V_p(g), s) = L(E^K, s) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, s),$$

where χ is the ring class character ψ/ψ^τ .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \nmid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{array} \right\} \implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0,$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \nmid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{array} \right\} \implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0,$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \mid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{array} \right\} \implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0,$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \mid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{array} \right\} \implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0,$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \mid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{array} \right\} \implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0,$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \mid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \\ \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{array} \right\} \implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0,$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \mid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 &\implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \\ \left. \begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) &= 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) &\neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{aligned} \right\} &\implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \mid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 &\implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \\ \left. \begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) &= 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) &\neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{aligned} \right\} &\implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Let N^- be the largest factor of N divisible only by primes inert in K .

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Suppose that $L(E, 1) = 0$ and has sign $+1$, $L(E^K, 1) \cdot L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$, and that:

- $E[p]$ is absolutely irreducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.
- N^- is the squarefree product of an odd number of primes.
- $E[p]$ is ramified at every prime $\ell \mid N^-$.

Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \beta-1} = \kappa_{\beta, \alpha-1} = 0$ and the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 &\implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \\ \left. \begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) &= 2 \\ \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) &\neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p) \end{aligned} \right\} &\implies \kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Loc}_p : \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_p E)$ is the restriction map at p .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Remarks

- The condition $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p)$ is automatic if $\#E(\mathbb{Q}) = \infty$ or $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.
- Our proof also shows if $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$, then

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} = \log_E(Q) \cdot P - \log_E(P) \cdot Q \pmod{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times},$$

where (P, Q) is any basis of $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

- Thus $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ generates (over \mathbb{Q}_p) the image of the line

$$\langle P \wedge Q := P \otimes Q - Q \otimes P \rangle$$

under the map $\bigwedge^2 E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ induced by \log_E .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Remarks

- The condition $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p)$ is automatic if $\#E(\mathbb{Q}) = \infty$ or $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.
- Our proof also shows if $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$, then

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} = \log_E(Q) \cdot P - \log_E(P) \cdot Q \pmod{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times},$$

where (P, Q) is any basis of $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

- Thus $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ generates (over \mathbb{Q}_p) the image of the line

$$\langle P \wedge Q := P \otimes Q - Q \otimes P \rangle$$

under the map $\bigwedge^2 E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ induced by \log_E .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Remarks

- The condition $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p)$ is automatic if $\#E(\mathbb{Q}) = \infty$ or $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.
- Our proof also shows if $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$, then

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} = \log_E(Q) \cdot P - \log_E(P) \cdot Q \pmod{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times},$$

where (P, Q) is any basis of $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

- Thus $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ generates (over \mathbb{Q}_p) the image of the line

$$\langle P \wedge Q := P \otimes Q - Q \otimes P \rangle$$

under the map $\bigwedge^2 E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ induced by \log_E .

Main result: rank (2,0) adjoint CM case

Remarks

- The condition $\text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\text{Loc}_p)$ is automatic if $\#E(\mathbb{Q}) = \infty$ or $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$.
- Our proof also shows if $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ and $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] < \infty$, then

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} = \log_E(Q) \cdot P - \log_E(P) \cdot Q \pmod{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times},$$

where (P, Q) is any basis of $E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

- Thus $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ generates (over \mathbb{Q}_p) the image of the line

$$\langle P \wedge Q := P \otimes Q - Q \otimes P \rangle$$

under the map $\bigwedge^2 E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E)$ induced by \log_E .

Outline

Kato classes

Generalised Kato classes

Main result

Ideas from the proof

Construction of $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}$

- Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$, $u := (1+p)$, and

$$T \mapsto u^{k-1} - 1, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

the weight k specialization map $\Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

- $\underline{g}, \underline{g}^* \in \Lambda[[q]]$ Hida families through the p -stabilizations $g_\alpha, g_{\alpha-1}^*$:

$$\underline{g}|_{T=0} = g_\alpha, \quad \underline{g}^*|_{T=0} = g_{\alpha-1}^*$$

(i.e., weight 1 specializations).

- By construction,

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} := \kappa_{f_{\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}}(T)|_{T=0},$$

where $\kappa_{f_{\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}}(T) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{g}\underline{g}^*})$ is such that

$$\kappa_{f_{\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}}(T)|_{T=u^{k-1}-1} \doteq \Lambda J_p(\Delta^{f_{\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}})$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

Construction of $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}$

- Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$, $u := (1 + p)$, and

$$T \mapsto u^{k-1} - 1, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

the weight k specialization map $\Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

- $\underline{g}, \underline{g}^* \in \Lambda[[q]]$ Hida families through the p -stabilizations $g_\alpha, g_{\alpha-1}^*$:

$$\underline{g}|_{T=0} = g_\alpha, \quad \underline{g}^*|_{T=0} = g_{\alpha-1}^*$$

(i.e., weight 1 specializations).

- By construction,

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} := \kappa_{f \underline{g} \underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=0},$$

where $\kappa_{f \underline{g} \underline{g}^*}(T) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{g} \underline{g}^*})$ is such that

$$\kappa_{f \underline{g} \underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=u^{k-1}-1} \doteq \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{f g_k g_k^*})$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

Construction of $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}$

- Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$, $u := (1 + p)$, and

$$T \mapsto u^{k-1} - 1, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

the weight k specialization map $\Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

- $\underline{g}, \underline{g}^* \in \Lambda[[q]]$ Hida families through the p -stabilizations $g_\alpha, g_{\alpha-1}^*$:

$$\underline{g}|_{T=0} = g_\alpha, \quad \underline{g}^*|_{T=0} = g_{\alpha-1}^*$$

(i.e., weight 1 specializations).

- By construction,

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} := \kappa_{f \underline{g} \underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=0},$$

where $\kappa_{f \underline{g} \underline{g}^*}(T) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{g} \underline{g}^*})$ is such that

$$\kappa_{f \underline{g} \underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=u^{k-1}-1} \doteq \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k g_k^*})$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

Construction of $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}$

- Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$, $u := (1 + p)$, and

$$T \mapsto u^{k-1} - 1, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

the weight k specialization map $\Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

- $\underline{g}, \underline{g}^* \in \Lambda[[q]]$ Hida families through the p -stabilizations $g_\alpha, g_{\alpha-1}^*$:

$$\underline{g}|_{T=0} = g_\alpha, \quad \underline{g}^*|_{T=0} = g_{\alpha-1}^*$$

(i.e., weight 1 specializations).

- By construction,

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} := \kappa_{f\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=0},$$

where $\kappa_{f\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}(T) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{g}\underline{g}^*})$ is such that

$$\kappa_{f\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=u^{k-1}-1} \doteq \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k g_k^*})$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

Construction of $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1}$

- Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$, $u := (1 + p)$, and

$$T \mapsto u^{k-1} - 1, \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

the weight k specialization map $\Lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

- $\underline{g}, \underline{g}^* \in \Lambda[[q]]$ Hida families through the p -stabilizations $g_\alpha, g_{\alpha-1}^*$:

$$\underline{g}|_{T=0} = g_\alpha, \quad \underline{g}^*|_{T=0} = g_{\alpha-1}^*$$

(i.e., weight 1 specializations).

- By construction,

$$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} := \kappa_{f\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=0},$$

where $\kappa_{f\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}(T) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{g}\underline{g}^*})$ is such that

$$\kappa_{f\underline{g}\underline{g}^*}(T)|_{T=u^{k-1}-1} \doteq \text{AJ}_p(\Delta^{fg_k g_k^*})$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ and p -adic L -functions

- Building on Walspurger's work, in 1996 Bertolini–Darmon constructed

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \in \Lambda$$

interpolating square-roots of twists of $L(E/K, 1)$.

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

There is a generalised Coleman power series map

$$\mathcal{L}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{gg}^*}) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

such that

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f_{\underline{gg}^*}}(T)) \doteq \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T). \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Note:

- Here Λ becomes the *anti-cyclotomic variable* for K .
- To prove the main result, we compute the *leading term* of (\spadesuit) at $T = 0$.

$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ and p -adic L -functions

- Building on Walspurger's work, in 1996 Bertolini–Darmon constructed

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \in \Lambda$$

interpolating square-roots of twists of $L(E/K, 1)$.

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

There is a generalised Coleman power series map

$$\mathcal{L}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{gg}^*}) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

such that

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\underline{gg}^*}(T)) \doteq \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T). \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Note:

- Here Λ becomes the *anti-cyclotomic variable* for K .
- To prove the main result, we compute the *leading term* of (\spadesuit) at $T = 0$.

$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ and p -adic L -functions

- Building on Walspurger's work, in 1996 Bertolini–Darmon constructed

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \in \Lambda$$

interpolating square-roots of twists of $L(E/K, 1)$.

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

There is a generalised Coleman power series map

$$\mathcal{L}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{gg}^*}) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

such that

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\underline{gg}^*}(T)) \doteq \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T). \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Note:

- Here Λ becomes the *anti-cyclotomic variable* for K .
- To prove the main result, we compute the *leading term* of (\spadesuit) at $T = 0$.

$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ and p -adic L -functions

- Building on Walspurger's work, in 1996 Bertolini–Darmon constructed

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \in \Lambda$$

interpolating square-roots of twists of $L(E/K, 1)$.

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

There is a generalised Coleman power series map

$$\mathcal{L}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{gg}^*}) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

such that

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f \underline{gg}^*}(T)) \doteq \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T). \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Note:

- Here Λ becomes the *anti-cyclotomic variable* for K .
- To prove the main result, we compute the *leading term* of (\spadesuit) at $T = 0$.

$\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}$ and p -adic L -functions

- Building on Walspurger's work, in 1996 Bertolini–Darmon constructed

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \in \Lambda$$

interpolating square-roots of twists of $L(E/K, 1)$.

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

There is a generalised Coleman power series map

$$\mathcal{L}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E \otimes V_{\underline{gg}^*}) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

such that

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\underline{gg}^*}(T)) \doteq \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T). \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Note:

- Here Λ becomes the *anti-cyclotomic variable* for K .
- To prove the main result, we compute the *leading term* of (\spadesuit) at $T = 0$.

Derived p -adic heights

- By the theory of anticyclotomic *derived* p -adic heights, there is a filtration

$$\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} \supseteq S_p^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(r)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

and a sequence of skew-symmetric (resp. symmetric) pairings for even r (resp. odd r)

$$h_p^{(r)} : S_p^{(r)} \times S_p^{(r)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

with $h_p^{(1)}$ = Mazur–Tate pairing, and $\ker(h_p^{(r)}) = S_p^{(r+1)}$.

- The τ -eigenspaces of $\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E)$ are isotropic for $h_p^{(1)}$, since $h_p^{(1)}(x^\tau, y^\tau) = h_p^{(1)}(x, y)^\tau = -h_p^{(1)}(x, y)$.
- This is in sharp contrast with the cyclotomic p -adic height pairing, which should be non-degenerate.

Derived p -adic heights

- By the theory of anticyclotomic *derived* p -adic heights, there is a filtration

$$\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} \supseteq S_p^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(r)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

and a sequence of skew-symmetric (resp. symmetric) pairings for even r (resp. odd r)

$$h_p^{(r)} : S_p^{(r)} \times S_p^{(r)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

with $h_p^{(1)}$ = Mazur–Tate pairing, and $\ker(h_p^{(r)}) = S_p^{(r+1)}$.

- The τ -eigenspaces of $\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E)$ are isotropic for $h_p^{(1)}$, since $h_p^{(1)}(x^\tau, y^\tau) = h_p^{(1)}(x, y)^\tau = -h_p^{(1)}(x, y)$.
- This is in sharp contrast with the cyclotomic p -adic height pairing, which should be non-degenerate.

Derived p -adic heights

- By the theory of anticyclotomic *derived* p -adic heights, there is a filtration

$$\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} \supseteq S_p^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(r)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

and a sequence of skew-symmetric (resp. symmetric) pairings for even r (resp. odd r)

$$h_p^{(r)} : S_p^{(r)} \times S_p^{(r)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

with $h_p^{(1)}$ = Mazur–Tate pairing, and $\ker(h_p^{(r)}) = S_p^{(r+1)}$.

- The τ -eigenspaces of $\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E)$ are isotropic for $h_p^{(1)}$, since $h_p^{(1)}(x^\tau, y^\tau) = h_p^{(1)}(x, y)^\tau = -h_p^{(1)}(x, y)$.
- This is in sharp contrast with the cyclotomic p -adic height pairing, which should be non-degenerate.

Derived p -adic heights

- By the theory of anticyclotomic *derived* p -adic heights, there is a filtration

$$\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} \supseteq S_p^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(r)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

and a sequence of skew-symmetric (resp. symmetric) pairings for even r (resp. odd r)

$$h_p^{(r)} : S_p^{(r)} \times S_p^{(r)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

with $h_p^{(1)}$ = Mazur–Tate pairing, and $\ker(h_p^{(r)}) = S_p^{(r+1)}$.

- The τ -eigenspaces of $\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E)$ are isotropic for $h_p^{(1)}$, since $h_p^{(1)}(x^\tau, y^\tau) = h_p^{(1)}(x, y)^\tau = -h_p^{(1)}(x, y)$.
- This is in sharp contrast with the cyclotomic p -adic height pairing, which should be non-degenerate.

Derived p -adic heights

- By the theory of anticyclotomic *derived* p -adic heights, there is a filtration

$$\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} \supseteq S_p^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(r)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

and a sequence of skew-symmetric (resp. symmetric) pairings for even r (resp. odd r)

$$h_p^{(r)} : S_p^{(r)} \times S_p^{(r)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

with $h_p^{(1)}$ = Mazur–Tate pairing, and $\ker(h_p^{(r)}) = S_p^{(r+1)}$.

- The τ -eigenspaces of $\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E)$ are isotropic for $h_p^{(1)}$, since $h_p^{(1)}(x^\tau, y^\tau) = h_p^{(1)}(x, y)^\tau = -h_p^{(1)}(x, y)$.
- This is in sharp contrast with the cyclotomic p -adic height pairing, which should be non-degenerate.

Derived p -adic heights

- By the theory of anticyclotomic *derived* p -adic heights, there is a filtration

$$\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} \supseteq S_p^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(r)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

and a sequence of skew-symmetric (resp. symmetric) pairings for even r (resp. odd r)

$$h_p^{(r)} : S_p^{(r)} \times S_p^{(r)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

with $h_p^{(1)}$ = Mazur–Tate pairing, and $\ker(h_p^{(r)}) = S_p^{(r+1)}$.

- The τ -eigenspaces of $\mathrm{Sel}(K, V_p E)$ are isotropic for $h_p^{(1)}$, since $h_p^{(1)}(x^\tau, y^\tau) = h_p^{(1)}(x, y)^\tau = -h_p^{(1)}(x, y)$.
- This is in sharp contrast with the cyclotomic p -adic height pairing, which should be non-degenerate.

Leading term formula

Building on the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\bar{g}\bar{g}^*}(T)) = \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \quad (\spadesuit)$$

we show:

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Let $\mathfrak{r} := \text{ord}_T \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T)$. Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$, and

$$h_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}(\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, x) \doteq \left(\frac{d}{dT} \right)^{\mathfrak{r}} \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \Big|_{T=0} \cdot \log_E(x)$$

for all $x \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$.

Note: For the (“underived”) cyclotomic p -adic height and first derivatives, such formula was proved by Rubin in the mid 1990s.

Leading term formula

Building on the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\bar{g}\bar{g}^*}(T))) = \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \quad (\spadesuit)$$

we show:

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Let $\mathfrak{r} := \text{ord}_T \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T)$. Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$, and

$$h_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}(\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, x) \doteq \left(\frac{d}{dT} \right)^{\mathfrak{r}} \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \Big|_{T=0} \cdot \log_E(x)$$

for all $x \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$.

Note: For the (“underived”) cyclotomic p -adic height and first derivatives, such formula was proved by Rubin in the mid 1990s.

Leading term formula

Building on the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\bar{g}\bar{g}^*}(T))) = \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \quad (\spadesuit)$$

we show:

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Let $\mathfrak{r} := \text{ord}_T \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T)$. Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$, and

$$h_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}(\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, x) \doteq \left(\frac{d}{dT} \right)^{\mathfrak{r}} \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \Big|_{T=0} \cdot \log_E(x)$$

for all $x \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$.

Note: For the (“underived”) cyclotomic p -adic height and first derivatives, such formula was proved by Rubin in the mid 1990s.

Leading term formula

Building on the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\bar{g}g^*}(T))) = \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \quad (\spadesuit)$$

we show:

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Let $\mathfrak{r} := \text{ord}_T \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T)$. Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$, and

$$h_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}(\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, x) \doteq \left(\frac{d}{dT} \right)^{\mathfrak{r}} \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \Big|_{T=0} \cdot \log_E(x)$$

for all $x \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$.

Note: For the (“underived”) cyclotomic p -adic height and first derivatives, such formula was proved by Rubin in the mid 1990s.

Leading term formula

Building on the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_p(\kappa_{f\bar{g}\bar{g}^*}(T))) = \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \quad (\spadesuit)$$

we show:

Theorem (C.–Hsieh)

Let $\mathfrak{r} := \text{ord}_T \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T)$. Then $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$, and

$$h_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}(\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}, x) \doteq \left(\frac{d}{dT} \right)^{\mathfrak{r}} \theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \Big|_{T=0} \cdot \log_E(x)$$

for all $x \in S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})}$.

Note: For the (“underived”) cyclotomic p -adic height and first derivatives, such formula was proved by Rubin in the mid 1990s.

Sketch of proof of non-vanishing

- For the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$, under our hypotheses we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E^K) = 0,$$

and the filtration reduces to

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} = S_p^{(2)} = \dots = S_p^{(r)} \supset S_p^{(r+1)} = \dots = S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

for some $r \geq 2$.

- Skinner–Urban's divisibility in IMC implies $\tau \leq r$, so the above gives

$$S_p^{(\tau)} = \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

- If $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\mathrm{Loc}_p)$, the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ then follows from our generalised Rubin formula.
- The proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ is similar.

Sketch of proof of non-vanishing

- For the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \neq 0$, under our hypotheses we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E^K) = 0,$$

and the filtration reduces to

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} = S_p^{(2)} = \dots = S_p^{(r)} \supset S_p^{(r+1)} = \dots = S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

for some $r \geq 2$.

- Skinner–Urban's divisibility in IMC implies $\tau \leq r$, so the above gives

$$S_p^{(\tau)} = \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

- If $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\mathrm{Loc}_p)$, the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \neq 0$ then follows from our generalised Rubin formula.
- The proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \neq 0 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ is similar.

Sketch of proof of non-vanishing

- For the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \neq 0$, under our hypotheses we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E^K) = 0,$$

and the filtration reduces to

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} = S_p^{(2)} = \dots = S_p^{(r)} \supset S_p^{(r+1)} = \dots = S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

for some $r \geq 2$.

- Skinner–Urban's divisibility in IMC implies $\tau \leq r$, so the above gives

$$S_p^{(\tau)} = \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

- If $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\mathrm{Loc}_p)$, the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \neq 0$ then follows from our generalised Rubin formula.
- The proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \neq 0 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ is similar.

Sketch of proof of non-vanishing

- For the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$, under our hypotheses we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E^K) = 0,$$

and the filtration reduces to

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} = S_p^{(2)} = \dots = S_p^{(r)} \supset S_p^{(r+1)} = \dots = S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

for some $r \geq 2$.

- Skinner–Urban’s divisibility in IMC implies $\mathfrak{r} \leq r$, so the above gives

$$S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})} = \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

- If $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\mathrm{Loc}_p)$, the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ then follows from our generalised Rubin formula.
- The proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ is similar.

Sketch of proof of non-vanishing

- For the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$, under our hypotheses we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E^K) = 0,$$

and the filtration reduces to

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} = S_p^{(2)} = \dots = S_p^{(r)} \supset S_p^{(r+1)} = \dots = S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

for some $r \geq 2$.

- Skinner–Urban’s divisibility in IMC implies $\mathfrak{r} \leq r$, so the above gives

$$S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})} = \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

- If $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\mathrm{Loc}_p)$, the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ then follows from our generalised Rubin formula.
- The proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ is similar.

Sketch of proof of non-vanishing

- For the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$, under our hypotheses we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E^K) = 0,$$

and the filtration reduces to

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = S_p^{(1)} = S_p^{(2)} = \dots = S_p^{(r)} \supset S_p^{(r+1)} = \dots = S_p^{(\infty)} = 0$$

for some $r \geq 2$.

- Skinner–Urban’s divisibility in IMC implies $\mathfrak{r} \leq r$, so the above gives

$$S_p^{(\mathfrak{r})} = \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E).$$

- If $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) \neq \ker(\mathrm{Loc}_p)$, the proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ then follows from our generalised Rubin formula.
- The proof that $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ is similar.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini-Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini-Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

First instance of non-vanishing: Gross's example

- The elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$ of smallest conductor:

$$E = 389a1 : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 - 2x.$$

- Take $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ and $p = 11$. Then:
 - p is ordinary for E , and splits in K .
 - $E[p]$ is irreducible, and ramified at $N^- = 389$.
 - $L(E^K, 1) \neq 0$.
 - Can find infinitely many χ with $L(E/K, \chi, 1) \neq 0$ by Vatsal.
- We numerically check

$$\theta_p^{\text{BD}}(T) \equiv -T^2 + 58T^3 + \cdots \pmod{(p^2, T^p)}.$$

- $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{Sel}(\mathbb{Q}, V_p E) = 2$ by Bertolini–Darmon's divisibility in IMC, and $\kappa_{\alpha, \alpha-1} \neq 0$ by our main result.

Gracias por vuestra atención!