

4. Markowitz Diversification Portfolio Investment^{*†}

Question:

How to invest v HKD into assets A_1, \dots, A_n

Central idea: Diversification

“Don’t put all your eggs in one basket”

*MA6622, Ernesto Mordecki, CityU, HK, 2006.

†Reference for Lecture 4: Essentials of Stochastic Finance, Albert N. Shiryaev, World Scientific (1999)

4a. Formulation of the Problem.

Suppose that you have v HKD to invest in several assets A_1, \dots, A_n .

Prices today ($t = 0$) are $S_1(0), \dots, S_n(0)$ (known).

Prices tomorrow ($t = 1$) will be

$$S_k(1) = S_k(0)(1 + X_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where X_k is the **random** interest rate of each asset.

The random interest rates X_k have **positive** expectation

$$r_k = \mathbf{E} X_k \geq 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

variances

$$\sigma_k^2 = \mathbf{var} X_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

covariances

$$\mathbf{cov}_{jk} = \mathbf{E}(X_j - r_j)(X_k - r_k), \quad j \neq k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and **correlations**

$$\rho_{jk} = \frac{\mathbf{cov}_{jk}}{\sigma_j \sigma_k}, \quad j \neq k = 1, \dots, n.$$

So you will buy a portfolio $\pi = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ such that

$$v = \alpha_1 S_1(0) + \dots + \alpha_n S_n(0).$$

At time $t = 1$ your capital will be

$$V_\pi(1) = \alpha_1 S_1(1) + \dots + \alpha_n S_n(1).$$

Problem: choose **best possible** $\pi = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, according to your risk-return preferences.

The main instruments of analysis proposed by Markowitz (1952) are

- $\mathbf{E} V_{\pi}(1)$ to measure the **expected return**,
- $\mathbf{var} V_{\pi}(1)$ to measure the **risk**.

Let us compute these numbers.

Consider the **proportions**

$$a_1 = \frac{\alpha_1 S_1(0)}{v}, \dots, a_n = \frac{\alpha_n S_n(0)}{v}$$

such that $a_1 + \dots + a_n = 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} V_\pi(1) &= \alpha_1 S_1(1) + \dots + \alpha_n S_n(1) \\ &= \alpha_1 S_1(0)(1 + X_1) + \dots + \alpha_n S_n(0)(1 + X_n) \\ &= v a_1 (1 + X_1) + \dots + v a_n (1 + X_n) \\ &= v(1 + a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n) \\ &= v(1 + X_\pi). \end{aligned}$$

where we define the **return** of portfolio π as

$$X_\pi = a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{E} V_\pi(1) = v(1 + \mathbf{E} X_\pi), \quad \mathbf{var} V_\pi(1) = v^2 \mathbf{var} X_\pi$$

and we can write

$$\mathbf{E} X_\pi = a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n,$$

$$\mathbf{var} X_\pi = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \sigma_k)^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} a_j a_k \mathbf{cov}_{jk}.$$

4b. Re-formulation of the Problem.

Given $r_k \geq 0$, $\sigma_k > 0$, $-1 \leq \rho_{jk} \leq 1$, find (a_1, \dots, a_n) such that $a_1 + \dots + a_n = 1$:

- expected return: $a_1 r_1 + \dots + a_n r_n$ as higher as possible,
- risk: $\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \sigma_k)^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} a_j a_k \sigma_j \sigma_k \rho_{jk}$ as lower as possible,

This is the **risk-return** tradeoff.

Let us examine the case $n = 2$. Results are

$$\mathbf{E} X_{\pi} = a_1 r_1 + a_2 r_2,$$

and, as $\text{cov}_{12} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho_{12}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var } X_{\pi} &= (a_1 \sigma_1)^2 + (a_2 \sigma_2)^2 + 2a_1 a_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho_{12} \\ &= (a_1 \sigma_1 - a_2 \sigma_2)^2 + 2a_1 a_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 (1 + \rho_{12}) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Assume that $r_1 < r_2$, $\sigma = \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ and $\sigma_{12} = 0$

- $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 1$ gives the maximum possible return r_2 , with variance σ^2 .
- $a_1 = a_2 = 1/2$ gives the minimum variance $\sigma^2/4$, with medium return $(r_1 + r_2)/2$.

and these two portfolios are very different.

So:

- high expected return rises with an increase in risk,
- Low levels risk are associated with low expected returns

In other words: [high returns requires risky investments](#) (read Financial Times article4.pdf)

Negative correlated assets

If $\rho_{12} = -1$, i.e. A_1 and A_2 are **negatively correlated**,

$$\text{var } X_\pi = (a_1\sigma_1 - a_2\sigma_2)^2$$

we can achieve $\text{var } V_\pi(1) = 0$ by choosing $a_1\sigma_1 = a_2\sigma_2$, that gives

$$a = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}, \quad b = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$$

In this situation we have **no risk**, and our return is

$$V_{\pi}(1) = v \left(1 + \frac{r_1 \sigma_2 + r_2 \sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \right) > v.$$

Phenomenon of negative correlation: When making an investment portfolio, **negatively** correlated assets reduces the risk.

Diversification

Assume that the assets A_1, \dots, A_n are **uncorrelated**:

$$\rho_{jk} = 0, \quad \text{for all } j \neq k,$$

with **bounded** variances:

$$\sigma_1^2 \leq C, \dots, \sigma_n^2 \leq C.$$

Choose $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1/n$.

$$\text{var } X_\pi = a_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 \sigma_n^2 =$$

$$\frac{1}{n^2} (\sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_n^2) \leq \frac{C}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Phenomenon of absence of correlation: In absence of correlation the number n of assets should be possible larger to reduce the risk (variance) of the investment.

4c. Systematic and Unsystematic Risk

If we have n correlated assets, the variance of a portfolio with proportions a_1, \dots, a_n and return

$$X_\pi = a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n$$

is

$$\text{var } X_\pi = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \sigma_k)^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} a_j a_k \text{cov}_{jk}.$$

If we take $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 1/n$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \sigma_k)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \bar{\sigma}_n,$$

defining the **mean variance** as

$$\bar{\sigma}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{var } X_k.$$

The **mean covariance** is

$$\bar{c}_n = \frac{2}{n^2 - n} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \text{cov}(i, j),$$

and, we conclude

$$\text{var } X_\pi = \frac{1}{n} \bar{\sigma}_n + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \bar{c}_n$$

Assume that $\bar{\sigma}_n \leq C$ and $\bar{c}_n \rightarrow \bar{c}$. Then

$$\text{var } X_\pi \rightarrow \bar{c} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

In conclusion:

- The first (variance) term can be reduced by diversification, it is the **unsystematic** risk
- The second (covariance) term can **not** be reduced, it is the **systematic** called also the **market** risk.

4d. Efficient Portfolio

In order to compare two portfolios, **rational behaviour** is:

- With equal expected returns, we prefer **lower** variance,
- With equal variance, we prefer **higher** expected returns.

We now plot, for $a_k \geq 0$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 1$ all the possible values of

$$\left(\sqrt{\mathbf{var} V_\pi(\mathbf{1})}, \mathbf{E} V_\pi(\mathbf{1}) \right).$$

It is not difficult to see, that

- Given a constant $\sigma_0^2 = \mathbf{var} V_\pi(\mathbf{1})$ we have an interval of values for $\mathbf{E} V_\pi(\mathbf{1})$,
- Given a constant $m_0 = \mathbf{E} V_\pi(\mathbf{1})$ we have an interval of values of $\mathbf{var} V_\pi(\mathbf{1})$

Conclusion: Given σ_0^2 we have one portfolio with this variance and **maximum** expectation, in the **efficient curve**.

Similarly, given m_0 there is only one portfolio with this expected return and **minimum** variance, in the same curve.

These portfolios are called **efficient**.

These results are known as the **mean-variance** analysis of Markowitz.