

Partial hyperbolicity and leaf conjugacy in nilmanifolds

Rafael Potrie

CMAT-Universidad de la Republica
Palis-Balzan Conference in Dynamics
rpotrie@cmat.edu.uy

June 28th 2012

Theorem (Mañe-Franks)

In dimension 2. C^1 -Robust transitivity \Leftrightarrow Anosov in \mathbb{T}^2 .

Theorem (Mañe-Franks)

In dimension 2. C^1 -Robust transitivity \Leftrightarrow Anosov in \mathbb{T}^2 .

In dimension 3:

Theorem (Diaz-Pujals-Ures)

C^1 -Robust transitivity implies partial hyperbolicity.

Topological classification:

Conjecture (Pujals)

All transitive strong partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms (in $\dim M = 3$) are leaf conjugate to an algebraic model or to the time one map of Anosov flow.

Topological classification:

Conjecture (Pujals)

All transitive strong partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms (in $\dim M = 3$) are leaf conjugate to an algebraic model or to the time one map of Anosov flow.

Progress by Bonatti-Wilkinson and Brin-Burago-Ivanov. More recently Hammerlindl.

Transitivity is necessary due to recent example of Hertz-Hertz-Ures.

Even if we get leaf conjugacy to known models, dynamical conclusions are not yet completely established and seem an interesting problem.

Even if we get leaf conjugacy to known models, dynamical conclusions are not yet completely established and seem an interesting problem.

See for example the works of Bonatti-Guelman for the time one map of Anosov flows.

Even if we get leaf conjugacy to known models, dynamical conclusions are not yet completely established and seem an interesting problem.

See for example the works of Bonatti-Guelman for the time one map of Anosov flows.

In certain cases our results imply uniqueness of attractors and repellers.

Definition

$f : M^3 \rightarrow M^3$ is *strong partially hyperbolic* (SPH) if $TM = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ (all $\dim = 1$) and $\exists N > 0$:

$$\|Df^N|_{E^s(x)}\| < \|Df^N|_{E^c(x)}\| < \|Df^N|_{E^u(x)}\|$$

$$\|Df^N|_{E^s(x)}\| < 1 < \|Df^N|_{E^u(x)}\|$$

Definition

$f : M^3 \rightarrow M^3$ is *strong partially hyperbolic* (SPH) if $TM = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u$ (all $\dim = 1$) and $\exists N > 0$:

$$\|Df^N|_{E^s(x)}\| < \|Df^N|_{E^c(x)}\| < \|Df^N|_{E^u(x)}\|$$

$$\|Df^N|_{E^s(x)}\| < 1 < \|Df^N|_{E^u(x)}\|$$

Definition

A SPH diffeomorphism f is *dynamically coherent* if there exists f -invariant foliations \mathcal{F}^{cs} and \mathcal{F}^{cu} tangent to $E^s \oplus E^c$ and $E^c \oplus E^u$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{F}^c$ tangent to E^c .

Main Result:

Theorem (j.w. A.Hammerlindl)

$f : M \rightarrow M$ a SPH diffeomorphism. If $M = \mathbb{T}^3$ and f has no attracting nor repelling 2-torus or if $M = \text{Nil}$ then:

- *f is dynamically coherent*
- *f is leaf conjugate to an algebraic model.*

Main Result:

Theorem (j.w. A.Hammerlindl)

$f : M \rightarrow M$ a SPH diffeomorphism. If $M = \mathbb{T}^3$ and f has no attracting nor repelling 2-torus or if $M = Nil$ then:

- *f is dynamically coherent*
- *f is leaf conjugate to an algebraic model.*

Corollary

For $M = \mathbb{T}^3$ if f is transitive (more generally if it is chain-recurrent or if $\Omega(f) = \mathbb{T}^3$) then we obtain leaf conjugacy.

Main Result:

Theorem (j.w. A.Hammerlindl)

$f : M \rightarrow M$ a SPH diffeomorphism. If $M = \mathbb{T}^3$ and f has no attracting nor repelling 2-torus or if $M = \text{Nil}$ then:

- *f is dynamically coherent*
- *f is leaf conjugate to an algebraic model.*

Corollary

For $M = \mathbb{T}^3$ if f is transitive (more generally if it is chain-recurrent or if $\Omega(f) = \mathbb{T}^3$) then we obtain leaf conjugacy.

Corollary

For $M = \mathbb{T}^3$ if f is isotopic to Anosov then we obtain leaf conjugacy to Anosov (in particular with 3 different eigenvalues).

Theorem (Brin-Burago-Ivanov)

In $M = \mathbb{T}^3$, under a more restrictive definition of SPH (absolute domination) one has dynamical coherence.

Theorem (Brin-Burago-Ivanov)

In $M = \mathbb{T}^3$, under a more restrictive definition of SPH (absolute domination) one has dynamical coherence.

This was used by Hammerlindl to obtain leaf conjugacy in that context. Moreover generalized under this stronger definition by Parwani and Hammerlindl.

Theorem (Brin-Burago-Ivanov)

In $M = \mathbb{T}^3$, under a more restrictive definition of SPH (absolute domination) one has dynamical coherence.

This was used by Hammerlindl to obtain leaf conjugacy in that context. Moreover generalized under this stronger definition by Parwani and Hammerlindl.

Theorem (Hertz-Hertz-Ures)

There exist open sets of SPH diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{T}^3 which are NOT dynamically coherent.

Main ingredients:

- **Existence of branching foliations and transverse foliations:**
Given by Burago-Ivanov's result.

Main ingredients:

- **Existence of branching foliations and transverse foliations:**
Given by Burago-Ivanov's result.
- **Classification of foliations:** Assuming there are no torus leaves, one can classify foliations on \mathbb{T}^3 and *Nil*.

Main ingredients:

- **Existence of branching foliations and transverse foliations:**
Given by Burago-Ivanov's result.
- **Classification of foliations:** Assuming there are no torus leaves, one can classify foliations on \mathbb{T}^3 and *Nil*.
- **Global product structure:** Different arguments depending on the isotopy class.

Main ingredients:

- **Existence of branching foliations and transverse foliations:** Given by Burago-Ivanov's result.
- **Classification of foliations:** Assuming there are no torus leaves, one can classify foliations on \mathbb{T}^3 and *Nil*.
- **Global product structure:** Different arguments depending on the isotopy class.
- **In nilmanifolds there are no invariant torus:** Since they must be *Anosov tori* (c.f. Hertz-Hertz-Ures).

Leaf conjugacy in the isotopy class of Anosov

Say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is such that f_* is Anosov and $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} \oplus E^u$.

Leaf conjugacy in the isotopy class of Anosov

Say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is such that f_* is Anosov and $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} \oplus E^u$.

In the isotopy class of Anosov one has a semiconjugacy $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in the universal cover:

$$H \circ \tilde{f} = f_* \circ H$$

Leaf conjugacy in the isotopy class of Anosov

Say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is such that f_* is Anosov and $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} \oplus E^u$.

In the isotopy class of Anosov one has a semiconjugacy $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in the universal cover:

$$H \circ \tilde{f} = f_* \circ H$$

Quite easy to see: $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(x)) = E^u + H(x)$ and $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) \subset E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} + H(x)$.

Leaf conjugacy in the isotopy class of Anosov

Say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is such that f_* is Anosov and $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} \oplus E^u$.

In the isotopy class of Anosov one has a semiconjugacy $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in the universal cover:

$$H \circ \tilde{f} = f_* \circ H$$

Quite easy to see: $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(x)) = E^u + H(x)$ and $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) \subset E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} + H(x)$.

Main ingredient: show that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)$ is “close” to E^{ss} . (after this is done, the proof is the one given by Hammerlindl in the stronger case).

Leaf conjugacy in the isotopy class of Anosov

Say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is such that f_* is Anosov and $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} \oplus E^u$.

In the isotopy class of Anosov one has a semiconjugacy $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in the universal cover:

$$H \circ \tilde{f} = f_* \circ H$$

Quite easy to see: $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(x)) = E^u + H(x)$ and $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) \subset E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} + H(x)$.

Main ingredient: show that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)$ is “close” to E^{ss} . (after this is done, the proof is the one given by Hammerlindl in the stronger case).

Key fact: If this does not happen then $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) = E^{ws} + H(x)$.

Leaf conjugacy in the isotopy class of Anosov

Say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is such that f_* is Anosov and $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} \oplus E^u$.

In the isotopy class of Anosov one has a semiconjugacy $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in the universal cover:

$$H \circ \tilde{f} = f_* \circ H$$

Quite easy to see: $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(x)) = E^u + H(x)$ and $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) \subset E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} + H(x)$.

Main ingredient: show that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)$ is “close” to E^{ss} . (after this is done, the proof is the one given by Hammerlindl in the stronger case).

Key fact: If this does not happen then $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) = E^{ws} + H(x)$. $\Rightarrow f$ is NOT *accessible*.

Leaf conjugacy in the isotopy class of Anosov

Say $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ is such that f_* is Anosov and $T\mathbb{T}^3 = E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} \oplus E^u$.

In the isotopy class of Anosov one has a semiconjugacy $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in the universal cover:

$$H \circ \tilde{f} = f_* \circ H$$

Quite easy to see: $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^u(x)) = E^u + H(x)$ and $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) \subset E^{ss} \oplus E^{ws} + H(x)$.

Main ingredient: show that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)$ is “close” to E^{ss} . (after this is done, the proof is the one given by Hammerlindl in the stronger case).

Key fact: If this does not happen then $H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^s(x)) = E^{ws} + H(x)$. $\Rightarrow f$ is NOT *accessible*.

This is C^1 -robust so it contradicts the work of Dolgopyat-Wilkison.

Thanks!

Thanks!