

DIMENSIONS OF SIMPLE $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ -MODULES

MARIANA PEREIRA

This is a summary of the correspondence between the simple $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ -modules described by Dobrev in [1] and those described using a method introduced by Radford ([4]) that appeared in [3].

First we will describe how the quantum groups in [3] can be seen as the quantum groups used by Dobrev. The parameter used when referring to Dobrev's modules will be called q and the parameter used when referring to modules constructed using Radford's method will be denoted by θ .

In [1] $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ is the associative algebra over \mathbb{C} , with generators $X_i^\pm, H_i, i = 1, 2$ and relations

$$(R1) \quad [H_i, H_j] = 0$$

$$(R2) \quad [H_i, X_j^\pm] = \pm a_{ij} X_j^\pm$$

$$(R3) \quad [X_i^+, X_j^-] = \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{H_i/2} - q^{-H_i/2}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} = \delta_{ij} [H_i]_q.$$

$$(R4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k}_q (X_i^\pm)^k X_j^\pm (X_i^\pm)^{2-k} = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

where $(a_{ij}) = (2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i))$ is the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{sl}_3 . It follows from (R4) that $H_i^n X_j^\pm = X_j^\pm (H_i \pm a_{ij})^n$.

Lemma 1. *Changing the generating elements to*

$$K_i^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm H_i/2}, \quad E_i = X_i^+ K_i^{-1/2}, \quad F_i = X_i^- K_i^{1/2}$$

the relations are replaced by

$$(R1') \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \quad K_1 K_2 = K_2 K_1$$

$$(R2') \quad K_i X_j^\pm K_i = q^{\pm a_{ij}/2} X_j^\pm$$

$$(R3') \quad [E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} q^{1/2} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}}.$$

$$(R4') \quad \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k}_q E_i^k E_j E_i^{2-k} = 0 = \sum_{k=0}^2 (-1)^k \binom{2}{k}_q F_i^k F_j F_i^{2-k}, \quad i \neq j,$$

The coalgebra structure is given by

- $\Delta(K_i^\pm) = K_i^\pm \otimes K_i^\pm$
- $\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes E_i$
- $\Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes F_i$

Date: July 20, 2009.

Proof. We will show (R3') (the other relations are shown in [1]). First note that, if $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $K_i^m X_j^\pm = q^{\pm a_{ij}m/2} X_j^\pm K_i^m$: if $q = e^\alpha$ then

$$\begin{aligned} K_i^m X_j^\pm &= q^{mH_i/2} X_j^\pm = e^{\alpha m H_i/2} X_j^\pm = \sum \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\alpha m}{2}\right)^n H_i^n X_j^\pm \\ &= \sum \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\alpha m}{2}\right)^n X_j^\pm (H_i \pm a_{ij})^n = X_j^\pm \sum \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\alpha m(H_i \pm a_{ij})}{2}\right)^n \\ &= X_j^\pm e^{\frac{\alpha m(H_i \pm a_{ij})}{2}} = X_j^\pm q^{mH_i/2 \pm a_{ij}m/2} = q^{\pm a_{ij}m/2} X_j^\pm K_i^m. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $m = 1$ we have (R2') and if $m = 1/2$ we have that $K_i^{1/2} X_j^\pm = q^{\pm a_{ij}/4} X_j^\pm K_i^{\pm 1/2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i, F_j] &= X_i^+ K_i^{-1/2} X_j^- K_j^{+1/2} - X_j^- K_j^{+1/2} X_i^+ K_i^{-1/2} \\ &= q^{-(a_{ij}/4)} X_i^+ X_j^- K_i^{-1/2} K_j^{1/2} - q^{a_{ij}/4} X_j^- X_i^+ K_j^{1/2} K_i^{-1/2} \\ &= q^{a_{ij}/4} (X_i^+ X_j^- - X_j^- X_i^+) K_j^{1/2} K_i^{-1/2} \\ &= q^{a_{ij}/4} \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} K_j^{1/2} K_i^{-1/2} = q^{1/2} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2. Let $e_i = q^{-1/4} E_i$, $f_i = q^{-1/4} F_i$, $\omega_i^{\pm 1} = K_i^{\mp 1}$ $i = 1, 2$, and $\theta = q^{-1/2}$, we have that $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ is generated by $e_i, f_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $i = 1, 2$ with relations

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{r1}) \quad &\omega_i e_j = \theta^{\langle \epsilon_i, \alpha_j \rangle} \theta^{-\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_j \rangle} e_j \omega_i. \\ (\text{r2}) \quad &\omega_i f_j = \theta^{-\langle \epsilon_i, \alpha_j \rangle} \theta^{\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j \omega_i \\ (\text{r3}) \quad &[e_i, f_j] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\theta - \theta^{-1}} (\omega_i - \omega_i^{-1}), \\ (\text{r4}) \quad &e_1^2 e_2 - (\theta + \theta^{-1}) e_1 e_2 e_1 + e_2 e_1^2 = 0, \quad e_1 e_2^2 - (\theta + \theta^{-1}) e_2 e_1 e_2 + e_2^2 e_1 = 0, \\ (\text{r5}) \quad &f_1^2 f_2 - (\theta + \theta^{-1}) f_1 f_2 f_1 + f_2 f_1^2 = 0, \quad f_1 f_2^2 - (\theta + \theta^{-1}) f_2 f_1 f_2 + f_2^2 f_1 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the coalgebra structure is given by

- $\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + \omega_i \otimes e_i$
- $\Delta(f_i) = 1 \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes \omega_i^{-1}$
- $\Delta(\omega_i^\pm) = \omega_i^\pm \otimes \omega_i^\pm$.

Hence, the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ used in Dobrev's paper [1] is the quotient (identifying $\omega_i' = \omega_i^{-1}$) of the $U_{\theta, \theta^{-1}}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ used in [2] and [3] for $\theta = q^{-1/2}$.

1. SIMPLE $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ -MODULES.

In [1], Dobrev describes all simple $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ modules when q is a primitive ℓ th root of unity. We will only look at the modules that are also modules for the finite-dimensional quotient $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ (quotient by $f_i^\ell = e_i^\ell = 0$ and $\omega_i^\ell = 1$) since these are the ones that can be obtained using Radford's construction (see [4], [3]).

The simple modules are quotients of Highest Weight Modules. A highest weight module V^λ is given by a highest weight vector v_0 and a weight $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*$ (\mathcal{H} is the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ generated by the elements H_i), such that

$$X_i^+ v_0 = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad H v_0 = \lambda(H) v_0, \quad H \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If we let G_1 be the group generated by ω_1 and ω_2 , elements $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*$ correspond to algebra maps from $\mathbb{K}G_1$ to \mathbb{K} in the following way:

$$\lambda(w_i) = \lambda(K_i^{-1}) = \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} q^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda(H_i)} = \theta^{\lambda(H_i)}.$$

In the same way, if we let G_2 be the group in $U_{\theta, \theta^{-1}}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ generated by ω'_1 and ω'_2 , elements $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^*$ correspond to algebra maps from $\mathbb{K}G_2$ to \mathbb{K} via

$$\lambda(w'_i) = \theta^{-\lambda(H_i)}.$$

Let H be the co-opposite of the Hopf subalgebra of $u_{\theta, \theta^{-1}}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ generated by f_i and ω'_i , $i = 1, 2$. In [3] it was shown that simple $u_\theta(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ -modules are of the form $H \cdot_\beta g$, with $g = \omega_1^c \omega_2^d \in G_2$ ($c, d \in \{0, \dots, \ell - 1\}$) and β the algebra map from $\mathbb{K}G_2$ to \mathbb{K} given by

$$\beta(\omega'_1) = -2c + d \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\omega'_2) = c - 2d.$$

If $(\ell, 3) = 1$, taking $m_i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, $m_1 \equiv (2c - d + 1) \pmod{\ell}$ and $m_2 \equiv (2d - c + 1) \pmod{\ell}$, the simple modules are given by pairs $(m_1, m_2) \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \times \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, where $\beta(\omega'_i) = \theta^{-m_i+1}$ and $g = \omega_1^c \omega_2^d$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

In addition, in such a $H \cdot_\beta g$ the following are satisfied:

- $\omega'_i \cdot_\beta g = \beta(\omega'_i) g = \theta^{-m_i+1} g$. Hence, under the correspondence of algebra maps from $\mathbb{K}G_2$ to \mathbb{K} and elements in the dual of \mathcal{H} , we have that

$$H_i \cdot g = \beta(H_i) g, \quad \text{with } \beta \in \mathcal{H}^* \text{ given by } \beta(H_i) = m_i - 1.$$

- $e_i \cdot g = 0$, hence $X_i^+ \cdot g = 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

Therefore $H \cdot_\beta g$ is a highest weight module, with highest weight $\beta \in \mathcal{H}^*$ given by $\beta(H_i) = m_i - 1$, and highest weight vector g . In what follows, we show the correspondence between the modules $H \cdot_\beta g$ and the ones constructed by Dobrev in [1] (the correspondence depends on the choice of parameters m_1 and m_2).

Case 1. If $m_1 + m_2 < \ell$. In this case, $H \cdot_\beta g$ is isomorphic to $L_{m_1 m_2}^4$ (type 4 in [1]) which is the only simple quotient of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ highest weight module V^λ , with $\lambda(H_i) = m_i - 1$. It follows from the formulas given in [1] that

$$\dim(H \cdot_\beta g) = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2}$$

Case 2. If $m_1 + m_2 > \ell$.

a. If $m_i \neq \ell$ for $i = 1, 2$ and we let $n_1 = \ell - m_2$ and $n_2 = \ell - m_1$, we have that $0 < n_i < \ell$ and $n_1 + n_2 = 2\ell - (m_1 + m_2) < \ell$. In this case, $H \cdot_{\beta} g$ is isomorphic to $L_{n_1 n_2}^4$ from [1], which is the only irreducible quotient of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ highest weight module V^γ with $\gamma = \lambda - n_3 \alpha_3$, where $\lambda(H_i) = n_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2$, $n_3 = n_1 + n_2$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. This follows from

$$\gamma(H_i) = n_i - 1 - (n_1 + n_2) \alpha_3(H_i) = n_i - 1 - (n_1 + n_2) = -1 - (\ell - m_i) \equiv (m_i - 1) \pmod{\ell}.$$

It follows from the formulas given in [1] that

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2} - \frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)}{2}.$$

b. If $m_1 = \ell$ and $m_2 < \ell/2$, taking $n_1 = m_2 < \ell/2$, we have that $H \cdot_{\beta} g$ is isomorphic to $L_{n_1}^{52}$ from [1], which is the irreducible quotient of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ highest weight module V^γ with $\gamma = \lambda - n_2 \alpha_2$, where $n_2 = \ell - n_1$ and $\lambda(H_i) = n_i - 1$. This follows from

$$\gamma(H_1) = \lambda(H_1) - n_2 \alpha_2(H_1) = n_1 - 1 + n_2 = \ell - 1 = m_1 - 1$$

and

$$\gamma(H_2) = \lambda(H_2) - n_2 \alpha_2(H_2) = n_2 - 1 - 2n_2 = -n_2 - 1 = n_1 - \ell - 1 \equiv (m_2 - 1) \pmod{\ell}.$$

Hence, by the formula from [1] we have that

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \dim(L_{n_1}^{52}) = \frac{\ell n_1 (\ell + n_1)}{2} = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2}.$$

c. If $m_1 = \ell$ and $m_2 \geq \ell/2$, then

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \dim(H \cdot_{\beta'} g'),$$

where (β', g') correspond to the choice of parameters $(m_2, m_1) = (n_1, \ell)$ with $n_1 \geq \ell/2$ (see Lemma 4). Hence, the dimension of $H \cdot_{\beta} g$ can be obtained from the next case.

d. If $m_2 = \ell$ and $m_1 \geq \ell/2$. Taking $n_1 = \ell - m_1 \leq \ell/2$, we have that $H \cdot_{\beta} g$ is isomorphic to $L_{n_1}^{51}$ from [1], which is the irreducible quotient of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ highest weight module V^γ with $\gamma = \lambda - n_1 \alpha_1$, where $\lambda(H_1) = n_1 - 1$ and $\lambda(H_2) = \ell - n_1 - 1$. This follows from

$$\gamma(H_1) = \lambda(H_1) - n_1 \alpha_1(H_1) = n_1 - 1 - 2n_1 = -n_1 - 1 = m_1 - \ell - 1 \equiv (m_1 - 1) \pmod{\ell}$$

and

$$\gamma(H_2) = \lambda(H_2) - n_1 \alpha_1(H_2) = \ell - n_1 - 1 + n_1 = \ell - 1 = m_2 - 1.$$

Hence, by the dimensions formulas from [1] we have that

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \frac{\ell(\ell - n_1)(2\ell - n_1)}{2} = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2}.$$

e. If $m_2 = \ell$ and $m_1 < \ell/2$. Again, $\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \dim(H' \cdot_{\beta'} g')$, with (β', g') corresponding to $(m_2, m_1) = (\ell, n_2)$ with $n_2 < \ell/2$. Hence, by case 2.b. we have that

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \dim(H \cdot_{\beta'} g') = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2}.$$

Case 3. If $m_1 + m_2 = \ell$.

a. If $m_1 \leq \ell/2$, $H \cdot_{\beta} g$ is isomorphic to $L_{m_1}^5$ from [1], which is the only irreducible quotient of the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ highest weight module V^λ with $\lambda(H_i) = m_i - 1$. It follows that

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \frac{m_1(\ell - m_1)\ell}{2} = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2}.$$

b. If $m_1 > \ell/2$, taking $n_1 = m_2$ and $n_2 = m_1$ we have that $n_1 < \ell/2$ and if (β', g') correspond to the choice of parameters (n_1, n_2) , we have that

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \dim(H \cdot_{\beta'} g') = \dim(L_{n_1}^5) = \frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)}{2} = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2}.$$

The above discussion shows that if $H \cdot_{\beta} g$ is a simple $u_\theta(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ -module, with $\beta(\omega'_i) = \theta^{m_i - 1}$, $m_i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, $i = 1, 2$, then

- If $m_1 + m_2 \leq \ell$,

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2}.$$

- If $m_1 + m_2 > \ell$ and we let $m'_i = \ell - m_i$, then

$$\dim(H \cdot_{\beta} g) = \frac{m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)}{2} - \frac{m'_1 m'_2 (m'_1 + m'_2)}{2}.$$

2. CONJUGATION

Let $\Phi : K \rightarrow L$ be an algebra homomorphism and (M, \cdot) a left L -module. Then M is a left K -module, with the action given by $k \cdot_{\Phi} m = \Phi(k) \cdot m$. Moreover, if Φ is surjective and N is a K -submodule of M , N is also a L -submodule of M . If $\Psi : L \rightarrow K$ is a coalgebra homomorphism and (M, δ) is a right L -comodule, then (M, δ_{Ψ}) is a right K -comodule with $\delta_{\Psi}(m) = (\text{id}_M \otimes \Psi)\delta(m)$. Moreover, if Ψ is injective and N is a right K -subcomodule of M , then N is also a L -subcomodule of M .

Lemma 3. *Let $\Phi : K \rightarrow L$ be a bialgebra isomorphism and $(M, \cdot, \delta) \in {}_L\mathcal{YD}^L$, then $M_{\Phi} = (M, \cdot_{\Phi}, \delta_{\Phi^{-1}}) \in {}_K\mathcal{YD}^K$. Moreover, M_{θ} is simple if M is simple.*

Proof. The only thing left to check is the compatibility between the action and coaction. Since $(M, \cdot, \delta) \in {}_L\mathcal{YD}^L$ we have that

$$\sum l_1 \cdot m_0 \otimes l_2 m_1 = \sum (l_2 \cdot m)_0 \otimes (l_2 \cdot m)_1 l_1,$$

for all $l \in L$, $m \in M$, where $\delta(n) = \sum n_0 \otimes n_1$, for all $n \in M$. By the definitions of \cdot_Φ and $\delta_{\Phi^{-1}}$, we have to prove that

$$\sum \Phi(k_1) \cdot m_0 \otimes k_2 \Phi^{-1}(m_1) = \sum (\Phi(k_2) \cdot m)_0 \otimes \Phi^{-1}((\Phi(k_2) \cdot m)_1) k_1.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \Phi(k_1) \cdot m_0 \otimes k_2 \Phi^{-1}(m_1) &= (\text{id}_M \otimes \Phi^{-1}) \left(\sum \Phi(k_1) \cdot m_0 \otimes \Phi(k_2) m_1 \right) \\ &= (\text{id}_M \otimes \Phi^{-1}) \left(\sum \Phi(k)_1 \cdot m_0 \otimes \Phi(k)_2 m_1 \right) \\ &= (\text{id}_M \otimes \Phi^{-1}) \left(\sum (\Phi(k)_2 \cdot m)_0 \otimes (\Phi(k)_2 \cdot m)_1 \Phi(k)_1 \right) \\ &= \sum (\Phi(k)_2 \cdot m)_0 \otimes \Phi^{-1}((\Phi(k)_2 \cdot m)_1) k_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

As before, let H be the co-opposite of the Hopf subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}_{\theta, \theta^{-1}}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ generated by f_i and ω'_i , $i = 1, 2$. Let $\tau = (1, 2)$ be the transposition that exchanges the indices 1 and 2. It is easy to see that there is Hopf algebra isomorphism $\Phi : H \rightarrow H$ such that $\Phi(f_i) = f_{\tau(i)}$ and $\Phi(\omega'_i) = \omega'_{\tau(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. For $(m_1, m_2) \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}^2$, let $M(m_1, m_2) = H \cdot_\beta g$ be the simple $\mathfrak{u}_\theta(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ -module corresponding to the parameters (m_1, m_2) . That is, $\beta(\omega'_i) = \theta^{-m_i+1}$ and $g = \omega_1^c \omega_2^d$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the last lemma, $(M(m_1, m_2))_\Phi$ is also a simple H -Yetter-Drinfel'd module.

Lemma 4. *If $\Phi : H \rightarrow H$ is the Hopf algebra isomorphism that exchanges subindices, and $(m_1, m_2) \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}^2$, then*

$$(M(m_1, m_2))_\Phi \simeq M(m_2, m_1).$$

In particular, $\dim(M(m_1, m_2)) = \dim(M(m_2, m_1))$.

Proof. As before, $M(m_1, m_2) = H \cdot_\beta g$ with $g = \omega_1^c \omega_2^d$ and $\beta(\omega'_i) = \theta^{m_i-1}$. Since $(M(m_1, m_2))_\Phi$ is a simple H -Yetter-Drinfel'd module, there exist $g' = \omega_1^e \omega_2^f \in G_2$ and β' and algebra map from $\mathbb{K}G_2$ to \mathbb{K} given by $\beta'(\omega'_i) = \theta^{n_i-1}$, $n_i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $(M(m_1, m_2))_\Phi \simeq H \cdot_{\beta'} g'$ as Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. Let $T : (M(m_1, m_2))_\Phi \rightarrow H \cdot_{\beta'} g'$ be a Yetter-Drinfel'd module isomorphism. Since T is a right comodule homomorphism, $(T \otimes \text{id}_H) \circ \delta_\Phi = \Delta \circ T$ and

$$(5) \quad T(g) \otimes \Phi^{-1}(g) = \Delta(T(g)).$$

Then $\mathbb{K}T(g)$ is a (non-zero) right-coideal of $H_{\bullet\beta'}g'$, but the only non-zero coideal contained in $H_{\bullet\beta'}g'$ is $\mathbb{K}g'$ (see [4]). Therefore $T(g)$ is a multiple of g , and we may assume that $T(g) = g'$. Applying $\epsilon \otimes \text{id}$ to equation 5, we get that $\epsilon(g')\Phi^{-1}(g) = g'$. Since both $\Phi^{-1}(g)$ and g' are grouplike elements, and grouplike elements are linearly independent, we have that $g' = \Phi^{-1}(g) = \omega_1'^d \omega_2'^c$; therefore $(e, f) = (d, c)$, and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} e \\ f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} m_2 \\ m_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since T is a homomorphism of left H -modules, $T(\omega_i' \cdot_{\Phi} g) = \omega_i' \cdot_{\beta'} T(g)$. Since

$$T(\omega_i' \cdot_{\Phi} g) = T(\omega_{\tau(i)}' \cdot_{\beta} g) = T(\theta^{m_{\tau(i)}-1} g) = \theta^{m_{\tau(i)}-1} g'$$

and

$$\omega_i' \cdot_{\beta'} T(g) = \theta^{n_i-1} g',$$

it follows that $(n_1, n_2) = (m_2, m_1)$, and $H_{\bullet\beta'}g' = M(m_2, m_1)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] V.K. Dobrev, Representations of Quantum Groups, Symmetries in Science **V** (Lochau 1990), 93–135, Plenum Press, NY, 1991.
- [2] G. Benkart, S. Witherspoon, Restricted two-parameter quantum groups, in: *Representations of Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics in Lie Theory and Geometry*, 293–318, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. **40**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2004.
- [3] M. Pereira, Factorization of simple modules for certain pointed Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **318** (2007) 957–980.
- [4] D.E. Radford, On oriented quantum algebras derived from representations of the quantum double of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, *J. Algebra* **270** (2) (2003), 670–695.